

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT

G.A.(V&E) Dept – Establishment – Smt. B. Narimani, IOP, worked in O/o RV&EO, Visakhapatnam, who has been repatriated to the O/o Dy. Inspector of General of Police, Visakhapatnam Range, Visakhapatnam - Certain allegations made by the RV&EO, Visakhapatnam - Initiation of disciplinary action- Departmental proceedings under Rule – 20 of the A.P., Civil services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991 - Articles of charges – issued- Reg.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (V&E) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 2378

Dated: 28-10-2019

1. O.O.Rt.No.528, G.A.(V&E) Dept, dt.30.08.2019
2. From the RV&EO, Visakhapatnam, Lr.C.No.102/RV&EO-VSP/DEV/DSP/2019, dt.15.09.2019

ORDER:

It is proposed to hold an enquiry against Smt. B. Narimani, IOP, who has been repatriated to the O/o Dy. Inspector of General of Police, Visakhapatnam Range, Visakhapatnam vide reference 1st cited in accordance with the procedure laid down in Rule 20 of A.P., Civil Services Classification, Control and Appeal Rules, 1991.

2. The substance of the imputation of irregularity committed in performing her duties and in respect of which the inquiry is proposed to be held is set out in the enclosed statement of articles of charges (Annexure-I&II). A list of documents by which, and a list of witnesses by whom, the articles of charges are proposed to be sustained are also enclosed (Annexure -III &IV).

3. Smt. B. Narimani, IOP, who has been repatriated to the O/o Dy. Inspector of General of Police, Visakhapatnam Range, Visakhapatnam is directed to submit a written statement of her defence within 10 days of receipt of this memo.

4. Smt. B. Narimani, IOP, O/o RV&EO, Visakhapatnam, who has been repatriated to the O/o Dy. Inspector of General of Police, Visakhapatnam Range, Visakhapatnam is further informed that an Inquiry will be held only in respect of those articles of charges as are not admitted. She should, therefore, specifically admit or deny each articles of charges.

5. Smt. B. Narimani, IOP, who has been repatriated to the O/o Dy. Inspector of General of Police, Visakhapatnam Range, Visakhapatnam is further informed that if she does not submit her written statement of defence on or before the date specified in para-3 above further action will be processed based on the material available.

6. Attention of Smt. B. Narimani, IOP, repatriated to the O/o Dy. Inspector of General of Police, Visakhapatnam Range, Visakhapatnam is invited to Rule 24 of the A.P. Civil Services 9Conduct) Rules, 1964, under which Govt. Servant shall bring or attempt to bring any political or outside influence to bear upon any superior authority to further her interest in respect of matters pertaining to her service under the Govt. If any representation is received on his behalf from another person in respect of any matter dealt with in theses proceeding, it will be presumed that Smt. B. Narimani, IOP is aware of such a representation and that it has been made at her instance and action will be taken against her for violation of Rul-24 of A.P., Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

7. Receipt of this G.O may be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR of ANDHRA PRADESH)

KASI REDDY VRN REDDY
DIRECTOR GENERAL (VIG. & ENFT.) &
E.O. PRL. SECY. TO GOVT.

To

Smt. B. Narimani, IOP, O/o Dy. Inspector of General of Police,
Visakhapatnam Range, Visakhapatnam, through DIGP, Visakhapatnam Range,
Visakhapatnam

The Dy. Inspector General of Police, Visakhapatnam Range,
Visakhapatnam, with a request to serve the articles of charges to
Smt. B. Narimani, IOP and return the acknowledgment with dated Signature.

Copy to:

The DGP, A.P., Mangalagiri for information.

//FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER (SC)

Annexure-I

Statement of articles of charge framed against Smt. B. Narimani, IOP, in O/o. RV&EO., Visakhapatnam who has been repatriated to the O/o Dy. Inspector of General of Police, Visakhapatnam Range, Visakhapatnam.

Article 1: That the said Smt. B. Narimani, IOP, while working as IOP O/o RV&EO, Visakhapatnam had failed to (1) Non-compliance the clause 29 of AP State Targets Public Distribution Control Order 2018 (2) Not properly sealing all the access doors (3) Not to keep surveillance for protecting the seals till further inspections.

Basis of the charge:

That the said Smt. B. Narimani, Inspector of Police while working in the O/o. RV&EO, Visakhapatnam unit dereliction of duties while inspecting the fair price shop No.211 Isukathota and FP Shop No. 561 Venkojipalem during 30.08.2019 and 31.08.2019.

It was brought to the notice that Smt. B. Narimani IOP., while working in O/o RV&EO., Visakhapatnam who was inspected FP Shop No.211 of Isukathota identified marginal variation of deficit 144 Kgs of PDS rice & let off the dealer without booking a case. It was also noticed that the inspecting officials Smt. B. Narimani, IOP, and her team have allowed the dealer Sri E. Rama Satyanarayana, FP Shop No. 211 to bring back the diverted PDS through back door of the same shop which was not sealed by the inspecting officials on the night of 31.08.2019 by taking some bribe from the dealer.

Basing on the inputs, visited the premises of FP Shop No.211 and found that there is a back door accessible to bring back the diverted rice. On enquiry with the Inspecting officials about non-sealing of back door they told that it was not sealed because the door is blocked by rice bags.

The allowable marginal variations and minor irregularities at Fair Price Shops as per AP State Targets Public Distribution Control Order 2018, under Clause 29 is as follows:

“Minor variation in respect of single commodity upto 1.5% may be allowed taking into consideration of transactions of one month. Whereas the inspecting officials Smt. B. Narimani, Inspector of Police has allowed marginal variations of deficit of 144 kgs of PDS Rice even though there is no transactions in the month. The stock was received on 29.08.2019 and the distribution is scheduled between 1st to 15th for the month, thus there is no scope for allowing Marginal variations. Further, neither the informant nor the FP Shop dealer willing to give their Statements substantiating bribe”.

Hence therefore Smt. B. Narimani, IOP has not complied the clause 29 of AP State Targets Public Distribution Control Order 2018 and extended undue favour to the dealer FP Shop No.211. Therefore, it was proposed to initiate appropriate action against the said Officer who worked in O/o RV&EO, Visakhapatnam and repatriated to Visakhapatnam Range, Visakhapatnam for:

1. Non-compliance the clause 29 of AP State Targets Public Distribution Control Order 2018.
2. Not properly sealing all the access doors.
3. Not to keep surveillance for protecting the seals till further inspections.

KASI REDDY VRN REDDY
DIRECTOR GENERAL (VIG. & ENFT.) &
E.O. PRL. SECY. TO GOVT.

//FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER (SC)

-3-

Annexure – II

List of documents for Imputation of misconduct or misbehaviour against Smt. B. Narimani, Inspector of Police working in the O/o. RV&EO, Visakhapatnam.

From the RV&EO, Visakhapatnam Lr.RC.No.120/RV&EO-VSP/DSP/2019,
dt.15.09.2019

KASI REDDY VRN REDDY
DIRECTOR GENERAL (VIG. & ENFT.) &
E.O. PRL. SECY. TO GOVT.

//FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER (SC)

Annexure – III

List of Witnesses for Imputation of misconduct or misbehaviour

Nil.

KASI REDDY VRN REDDY
DIRECTOR GENERAL (VIG. & ENFT.) &
E.O. PRL. SECY. TO GOVT.

//FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER (SC)